



# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/750,629	12/28/2000	Yuanlong Wang	00CXT0785N	7116
36122	7590 03/18/2004		EXAMINER	
DUFT SETTER OLLILA & BORNSEN LLC			HUYNH, KIM T	
2060 BROADWAY SUITE 300			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
BOULDER, CO 80302			2112	G
		•	DATE MAILED: 03/18/2004	. 9

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Z

		<b>X</b>	i 
1	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	09/750,629	WANG ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Kim T. Huynh	2112	
Th MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	oears on the cov r sheet w	vith the correspond nce address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL' THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.12 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period of the specified above.	36(a). In no event, however, may a y within the statutory minimum of th will apply and will expire SIX (6) MC , cause the application to become A	reply be timely filed irty (30) days will be considered timely. NTHS from the mailing date of this communication. ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
<ul> <li>1) ⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>07 Ja</u></li> <li>2a) ☐ This action is <b>FINAL</b>. 2b) ⊠ This</li> <li>3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for alloware closed in accordance with the practice under E</li> </ul>	action is non-final. nce except for formal ma		
Disposition of Claims			
4)  Claim(s) 1-20 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray 5)  Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6)  Claim(s) 1-20 is/are rejected. 7)  Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8)  Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	wn from consideration.		
Application Papers			
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine 10)☒ The drawing(s) filed on 28 December 2000 is/a Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	are: a)⊠ accepted or b)[ drawing(s) be held in abeya tion is required if the drawir	ance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). g(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Burea * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	ts have been received. ts have been received in rity documents have bee u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	Application No n received in this National Stage	
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date	Paper No	Summary (PTO-413) o(s)/Mail Date Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) 	

Application/Control Number: 09/750,629 Page 2

Art Unit: 2112

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

2. Claims 1-2, 4-7, 9-12, 14-17, 19-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Yamamoto et al. (US Patent 6,392,991)

As per claim 1, Yamamoto discloses communication circuitry comprising:

- parallel channels configured to transfer communications in parallel with a clock signal; (col.10, lines 21-41), also see abstract
- processing circuitry configured to exchange the communications between communication links and the parallel channels; and (col.1, lines 7-13), (col.21, see claim 21)
- crossbar integrated circuits configured to receive the communications and the clock signal over the parallel channels, switch the communications based on the clock signal, and transfer the switched communications to the parallel channels. (col.11, lines 25-34), figure 9.

Application/Control Number: 09/750,629 Page 3

Art Unit: 2112

As per claim 11, Yamamoto discloses a method of operating communication circuitry, the method comprising:

- exchanging communications between communication links and processing circuitry; (col.1, lines 7-13)
- exchanging the communications and a clock signal between the processing circuitry and parallel channels; (col.10, lines 21-41), see abstract
- transferring the communications in parallel with the clock signal over the parallel channels; (col.10, lines 21-41), (col.21, see claim 21)
- receiving the communications and the clock signal from the parallel channels into crossbar integrated circuits; (col.11, lines 25-34)
- switching the communications in the crossbar integrated circuits based on the clock signal, and transferring the switched communications from the crossbar integrated circuits to the parallel channels. (col.11, lines 25-34), figure 9
- transferring the switched communication from the crossbar integrated circuits to the parallel channels. (col.11, lines 25-34), (col.21, claim 21)

As per claims 2,12, Yamamoto discloses wherein the parallel channels are each comprised of parallel differential signal pairs wherein one of the differential signal pairs is for the clock signal. (col.4, lines 62-65)

As per claims 4,14, Yamamoto discloses wherein the communications comprise data packets. (col.7, lines 38-54)

Application/Control Number: 09/750,629

Art Unit: 2112

As per claims 5,15, Yamamoto discloses wherein the communications comprise fixed-length data packets. (col.4, lines 43-52)

As per claims 6, 16, Yamamoto discloses wherein the communication circuitry comprises a switch fabric. (col.1, lines 21-27)

As per claims 7, 17, Yamamoto discloses wherein the processing circuitry is comprised of virtual output queues that store the communications prior to switching and that are associated with egress ports. (col.2, lines 54-67), (col.9, lines 38-44)

As per claims 9, 19, Yamamoto discloses wherein the processing circuitry is comprised of a multi-cast virtual output queue that stores the communications prior to switching for multi-cast output. (col.2, lines 54-67), (col.9, lines 38-44)

As per claims 10, 20, Yamamoto discloses wherein the parallel channels include multiplexers to perform bit slicing through the crossbar integrated circuits. (col.16, lines 42-52), figure 14.

#### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claims 3, 13, are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Yamamoto et al. (US Patent 6,392,991) in view of Applicant Admitted Prior Art (AAPA)

Application/Control Number: 09/750,629 Page 5

Art Unit: 2112

Yamamoto discloses all the limitations as above except wherein the communication links comprise serial channels. However, AAPA discloses crossbar includes cross-points that switch between incoming serial channels. (pages 3-5)

5. As per claims 8, 18, Yamamoto discloses wherein the processing circuitry is comprised of virtual output queues that store the communications prior to switching and wherein each virtual output queue is comprised of sub-queues that are each associated with a different priority.

Although Yamamoto fails to disclose wherein the processing circuitry is comprised of virtual output queues that store the communications prior to switching and wherein each virtual output queue is comprised of sub-queues that are each associated with a different priority. However, Yamamoto does teach using an arbitrating between one of a plurality of channels. (col.1, lines 52-62), but not explicitly discloses each of arbitrating output associated with a different priority.

Examiner takes Official Notice that arbitrating with different priority are well known in the art. It would have been obvious to incorporate arbitrating with different priority into Yamamoto's system so as to the same purpose of having conflict-free and for determine which device is having more priority than the others.

## Response to Amendment

6. Applicant's argument filed on 1/7/04 have been fully considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Application/Control Number: 09/750,629

Art Unit: 2112

Page 6

processing unit communicates with the crossbar over a parallel channel. However,

a. In response to applicant's argument that Aybay does not state that the packet

Yamamoto's system discloses a communication network formed with switches

connected to a number of parallel channels. The terminal devices are connected to the

parallel channels and supply an input signal, the switches receive the input signal from

each of the channels and output it to other channels. The network is configured so that

the input signal passes through the switches to a destination terminal device. Thus, the

prior art teaches the invention as claimed, the claims do not distinguish over the prior art

as applied.

Conclusion

7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should

be directed to Kim Huynh whose telephone number is (703)305-5384 or via e-mail addressed to

[kim.huynh3@uspto.gov]. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 8:30AM- 6:30PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Mark

Rinehart can be reached on (703) 305-4815 or via e-mail addressed to [mark.rinehart@uspto.gov]. The

fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703)872-

9306 for regular communications and After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be

directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703)306-5631.

Kim Huynh

March 13, 2004

was Dom

Khanh Dang Primary Examiner